

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/006,217	12	2/10/2001	Tsan-Lung Chen	CHEN3307/EM	8995	
23364	7590	11/21/2002				
BACON &	THOMAS	S, PLLC	EXAMINER			
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR				LE, DANG D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		
				DATE MAIL ED: 11/21/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 11/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·	Analization Al	Applies=t(s)
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/006,217	CHEN, TSAN-LUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this account	Dang D Le	2834
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r munication. 30 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	ïled on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	n for allowance except for formal material	
Closed in accordance with the pract Disposition of Claims	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri Application Papers	ction and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 Decembe</u>	<u>er 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any ob	pjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)∏ approved b)∏ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	pplication No
	of the priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign la	• • •	
Attachment(s)		-
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Information Disclosure Statement(s)	PTO-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeshi et al. (JP 08-065948).

Regarding claim 1, Takeshi et al. show a micro vibrating motor comprising:

- A motor (Figures 1-6),
- One end of said motor having a rotary shaft (4) disposed thereon;
- A weight block (2), said weight block having a coupling portion (hole for shaft 4) provided thereon to insertably receive said rotary shaft such that, after said weight block is mounted on said rotary shaft, said weight block on said rotary shaft is in an offset state, a weld portion (5) being disposed at an edge of said coupling portion that is connected to said rotary shaft so as to enable said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/006,217

Art Unit: 2834

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshi et al.

Regarding claim 2, Takeshi et al. show all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for said motor having a size less than 6 mm, and said rotary shaft having a diameter less than 1 mm.

However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make said motor with a size less than 6 mm, and said rotary shaft with a diameter less than 1 mm, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshi et al. in view of Kuyama et al.

Regarding claim 3, Takeshi et al. show all of the limitations of the claimed invention including said weight block being fan-shaped except for said coupling portion being provided on a relatively small curved surface of said weight block, said weld portion being elongated and being provided at the edge of said coupling portion on said curved surface and connected to said rotary shaft, said elongated weld portion enabling said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together.

Kuyama et al. show the weld portion (42) being elongated for the purpose connecting the eccentric weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,217

Art Unit: 2834

Since Takeshi et al. and Kuyama et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide said coupling portion on a relatively small curved surface of said weight block, said weld portion being elongated and being provided at the edge of said coupling portion on said curved surface and connected to said rotary shaft, said elongated weld portion enabling said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together as taught by Kuyama et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Takeshi et al. and Kuyama et al., if combined, also show said weight block being fan-shaped, and said coupling portion being a through hole that extends from one end of said weight block to the other end and that has a diameter dimensioned to receive said rotary shaft, said weld portion being generally curved and being provided on one end of said coupling portion that is distal from said motor and that has an edge connected to said rotary shaft, said curved weld portion enabling said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together.

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshi et al. in view of Tuneblom.

Regarding claim 3, Takeshi et al. show all of the limitations of the claimed invention including said weight block being fan-shaped except for said coupling portion being provided on a relatively small curved surface of said weight block, said weld

portion being elongated and being provided at the edge of said coupling portion on said curved surface and connected to said rotary shaft, said elongated weld portion enabling said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together.

Tuneblom shows the weld portion (18) being elongated for the purpose connecting the eccentric weight.

Since Takeshi et al. and Tuneblom are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide said coupling portion on a relatively small curved surface of said weight block, said weld portion being elongated and being provided at the edge of said coupling portion on said curved surface and connected to said rotary shaft, said elongated weld portion enabling said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together as taught by Tuneblom for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Takeshi et al. and Tuneblom, if combined, also show said weight block being fan-shaped, and said coupling portion being a through hole that extends from one end of said weight block to the other end and that has a diameter dimensioned to receive said rotary shaft, said weld portion being generally curved and being provided on one end of said coupling portion that is distal from said motor and that has an edge connected to said rotary shaft, said curved weld portion enabling said weight block and said rotary shaft to be coupled together.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Dany Le

DDL

November 17, 2002

7